

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,813	05/02/2005	Georg Bachmaier	S4-02P13029	4290
24131 7590 11/29/2007 LERNER GREENBERG STEMER LLP			EXAMINER	
P O BOX 2480		GORDON, BRYAN P		
HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/533,813	BACHMAIER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bryan P. Gordon	2834				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
. 1)☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ma</u>	av 2005					
·						
·=	<u> </u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) 11-20 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 May 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachment(s)	•					
) Light Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
?) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) ☐ Notice of Informal P 6) ☐ Other:	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

10/533,813 Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mock (EP 1,079,158).
- 3. Consider claim 11, Mock teaches the method for operating an injection valve having at least one piezoelectric actuator (8), a displaceable component (10), a hydraulic element (11), and a common housing (1) with said actuator, said component, and said element disposed therein, the method which comprises; reversibly controlling a stroke of the displaceable component by applying a drive voltage to the actuator; biasing the actuator with a bias voltage having a bias opposing a polarization direction of the actuator (paragraph 0011).
- 4. Consider claim 12, Mock teaches the bias voltage is lower than a voltage causing a change in a polarity of the actuator (paragraph 0010).
- 5. Consider claim 13, Mock teaches which comprises increasing the stroke of the displaceable component by applying the bias voltage (paragraph 0024).
- 6. Consider claim 14, Mock teaches the bias voltage to effect a reduction in an energy consumption of the actuator (paragraph 0024).

Application/Control Number:

10/533,813

Art Unit: 2834

7. Consider claim 15, Mock teaches the drive voltage together with bias voltage for setting a defined stroke of the displaceable component (paragraph 0024).

Page 3

- 8. Consider claim 16, Mock teaches a volume of material injected with the injection valve by way of the defined stroke of the displaceable component (paragraph 0024).
- 9. Consider claim 17, Mock teaches the claimed invention as described above in claim 11.
- 10. Consider claim 18, Mock teaches the claimed invention as described above in claim 12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 13. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

10/533,813

Art Unit: 2834

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 14. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mock (EP 1,079,158)
- 15. Consider claim 19, Mock teaches an injection valve for injecting fuel into the gasoline engine (paragraph 0034). It would be obvious to inject gasoline into an engine since some engines run on gasoline.
- 16. Consider claim 20, Mock teaches an injection valve for injecting fuel into the engine (paragraph 0034). It would be obvious to inject diesel fuel into an engine since some engines run on diesel fuel.

Conclusion

- 17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryan P. Gordon whose telephone number is 571-272-5394. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:00-5:30, Friday 7:30-4:00.
- 18. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/533,813 Art Unit: 2834

19. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BG B6 11\26/07

> CONTROL DEN DENGLES NOUS STREETING ON DANIES NOUS DANIES ON DANIES NOUS DANIES NOUS CONTROLS